

WO

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

William Zane Gray,

Petitioner,

vs.

E.W. Morris, ; et al.,

Respondents.

No. CV 08-1516-PHX-JAT

ORDER

Pending before the Court is Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Petition") (Doc. #1). The Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") (Doc. #14) recommending that the Petition be denied and dismissed with prejudice.

Neither party has filed objections to the R&R. Accordingly, the Court hereby accepts the R&R. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (finding that district courts are not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection" (emphasis added)); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (*en banc*) ("statute makes it clear that the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo if objection is made, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F.Supp.2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003).


Accordingly,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. #14) is **ACCEPTED**;

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
2 (Doc. #1) is **DENIED**; and

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this action is **DISMISSED WITH**
4 **PREJUDICE.**

5 DATED this 27th day of May, 2009.

6
7 
8 James A. Teilborg
 United States District Judge